1	
2	
3	
4	
5	LOUISIANA USED MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION
6	STATE OF LOUISIANA
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	REGULAR MEETING
14	HELD BY VIDEOCONFERENCE
15	May 18, 2020
16	BEGINNING AT 9:30 A.M.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	3132 VALLEY CREEK
22	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
23	
24	
25	REPORTED BY:

BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	CHAIRMAN: MR. JOHN POTEET
4	
5	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: MR. JEFFEREY BRITT
6	MR. RICKY DONNELL
7	MR. GEORGE FLOYD
8	MR. STEPHEN OLAVE
9	MR. HENRY "DARTY" SMITH
10	MR. RICHARD WATTS
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	REPRESENTING THE LOUISIANA USED MOTOR
16	VEHICLE COMMISSION:
17	
18	ROBERT W. HALLACK, ESQUIRE HALLACK LAW OFFICE
19	13007 JUSTICE AVENUE
20	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70816
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	ALSO	PRESEN	T:
2		MS.	KIM BARON
3		MR.	DEREK PARNELL
4		MS.	MONA ANDERSON
5		MS.	TONYA BURKS
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	MR. POTEET:
2	All right. Let's get started. We'll
3	have a Pledge of Allegiance. Everybody, we
4	have got a flag up there. Kim has done a great
5	job. She went out this morning and took a
6	picture of the flag right out there in the
7	front yard.
8	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
9	MR. POTEET:
10	All right. Roll call, Kim.
11	MS. BARON:
12	John Poteet?
13	MR. POTEET:
14	Here.
15	MS. BARON:
16	George Floyd?
17	MR. FLOYD:
18	Here.
19	MS. BARON:
20	Tony Cormier?
21	MR. CORMIER:
22	(No response.)
23	MS. BARON:
24	Matthew Pederson?
25	MR. PEDERSON:

1		(No response.)
2	MS.	BARON:
3		Richard Watts?
4	MR.	WATTS:
5		Here.
6	MS.	BARON:
7		Steve Olave?
8	MR.	OLAVE:
9		Here.
10	MS.	BARON:
11		Ricky Donnell?
12	MR.	DONNELL:
13		Here.
14	MS.	BARON:
15		Darty Smith?
16	MR.	SMITH:
17		Here.
18	MS.	BARON:
19		Dino Taylor?
20	MR.	TAYLOR:
21		(No response.)
22	MS.	BARON:
23		Jeffery Britt?
24	MR.	BRITT:
25		Here.

1	MS. BARON:
2	Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum.
3	MR. POTEET:
4	All right. I assume nobody is here
5	for public comments?
6	MS. BARON:
7	No, sir. There is not.
8	MR. POTEET:
9	Okay. So the first thing is the
10	adoption and approval of minutes. Do we have a
11	motion?
12	MR. DONNELL:
13	So moved.
14	MR. SMITH:
15	Second.
16	MR. POTEET:
17	Any opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	All right. That passes.
20	Items for discussion and actions. So
21	this most of this agenda is Mona anyway.
22	So, Mona, go ahead and get started. Everybody
23	got their reports and everything, hopefully.
24	So you have got that in front of you.
25	All right. Mona, are you ready?

1	MS. ANDERSON:
2	Yes, sir.
3	MR. POTEET:
4	At this point, I will suggest
5	everyone mute.
6	MS. ANDERSON:
7	If you will look in your packets, I
8	think Kim is going to display those. For the
9	financial statements for the month ending April
10	30, 2020, the total current assets are
11	\$3,594,574 4. And of that, cash in the bank
12	was \$2,413,182. Accounts receivable fines were
13	\$478,820. At the bottom of the page, the
14	current liabilities were \$80,403. Of that,
15	\$57,583 of that total are for approved salaries
16	and benefits payable. And the remainder is for
17	accounts payable, claims against bond, and
18	escrow fines.
19	On page 2 of the statement of net
20	position, the long-term liability is, the
21	deferred revenues for 2021 were 200 I am
22	sorry deferred revenues were \$257,370.
23	Turning to the statement of revenues expenses

and changes in net position, the month-to-date

revenue was significantly lower than it was in

24

2019. Year-to-date figures were higher, primarily due to the fact that we recorded the funds for Lauco again, but we could have to write those off at the end of the period -- at the end of the fiscal year. The hearing costs and fines revenue was a negative number due to the Commission wrote off \$18,050 of fines on PCC Auto Brokers last month.

2.4

On pages 4 and 5, the expenses were nearly the same. The month-to-date expenses were nearly the same as they were in 2019. And the year-to-date expenses were about \$35,000 higher due to increases in benefit costs.

The month-to-date net position was a negative 87,000. And the year-to-date net position was \$514,044. On page 6, this chart reflects the number of licenses year to date for this fiscal year. And pages 6 and 7 are the four-year revenue comparison and the chart that goes with it. This contains the comparison of the revenues for the last four years and almost all of the license types have increases except for the salespersons licenses. Hearing fines increased. But, again, a portion of that may have to be written off.

Τ	On page 9, the certificate of deposit
2	summary, in April we had two CDs that renewed
3	and the rates went from 1.5 percent to
4	0.5 percent. Those are the two with JP Morgan
5	Chase. And so this is kind of an inkling of
6	how things are going to go insofar as our
7	interest rates.
8	On page 10, the accounts receivable
9	hearing fines, in April, we assessed \$8,200 in
10	fines, and we collected \$18,200. We wrote off
11	\$18,050, again, that's that PCC Auto Brokers
12	account. The balance at the end of April was
13	\$478,820.
14	Unless there are any questions,
15	Mr. Chairman, that concludes my presentation on
16	the financial report.
17	MR. POTEET:
18	Anyone have any questions? Unmute if
19	you need to ask a question.
20	All right. We need to motion to
21	approve the financials.
22	MR. DONNELL:
23	I will make a motion to approve it.
24	MR. OLAVE:
25	Second. We have a motion by

1	Mr. Donnell and a second by Mr. Olave.
2	All in favor, say, "Aye."
3	(All "Aye" responses.)
4	MR. POTEET:
5	Any opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	MR. OLAVE:
8	I do have a comment.
9	MR. POTEET:
10	I am sorry.
11	MR. OLAVE:
12	I do have a comment. Mona, I just
13	want you to know that your financials are so
14	much more exciting and I look forward to
15	hearing them again face-to-face soon.
16	MS. ANDERSON:
17	I hope they are exciting in the
18	increase in revenue portion.
19	MR. OLAVE:
20	The interest rate wasn't very
21	exciting.
22	MR. POTEET:
23	All right. The next thing on the -
24	thank you for that, Steve. The next thing on
25	the agenda is the proposed budget amendments.

And this is Mona again. So, Mona, are you ready for this?

MS. ANDERSON:

2.4

Yes, sir. Kim will show you the budget amendments that we're proposing for the end of this fiscal year. The 2019/'20 budget was prepared in October of 2018. Normally, the budget amendments would be primarily to move funds around various line items of expenses in the budget. However, since we experienced a significant drop in revenue at the end of this fiscal period, we are going to have to amend the revenues, also. We don't know yet what June will look like either for revenue or expenses, but we are assuming that it is not going to be a lot better in June than April and May were.

As far as the revenues were concerned, we expect to be roughly \$100,000 under budget. Please note that we under-budgeted fines and that's for the write-off of the Lauco account, which would likely have to be written off for \$266,000. Unless, perhaps, the AG's office is able to reserve the papers.

adjusted down roughly 130,000. A few line items were adjusted up, but the major amount of a major number of accounts were adjusted down.

A new line item was added, the second line in the expenses was added for -- that has to do with the employee paid sick leave that's related to the COVID-19 leave changes. We have to report this separately. If possible, they are going to amend tax forms and what have you for payroll tax forms for the end of the year.

And in the end, we adjusted to have a not position of zero. If you look at the bottom of the second page to the right-hand column, if the expenses come in less than what was estimated, then we could realize a little bit of a profit, but it is going to be pretty much zero or less. So unless there are any questions, Mr. Chairman, that concludes the presentation on the budget, and we need those approved.

MR. POTEET:

2.4

All right. Does anybody have any questions or comments at this time?

(No response.)

1	All right. I will take that as a no
2	So we need a motion to approve the supposed
3	budget amendments.
4	MR. WATTS:
5	I make a motion.
6	MR. OLAVE:
7	Second.
8	MR. POTEET:
9	All right. All in favor, say, "Aye.
10	(All "Aye" responses.)
11	MR. POTEET:
12	Any opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. POTEET:
15	All right. That passes. The next
16	thing we have is we get a chance to hear from
17	Derek. Derek, are you out there?
18	MR. PARNELL:
19	Yes, yes, I am here. Can everyone
20	hear me?
21	You will find a chart that has a
22	ratification of imposed penalties for May of
23	2020. All of these cases have been
24	investigated. I have determined that the
25	public interest can be served without further

1	administrative proceeding, thus, civil
2	penalties were imposed.
3	Do we have anyone representing anyone
4	here? Of course not. So I will go through the
5	list.
6	The first on the list is Elie Used
7	Car Center, LLC, from Slidell; the fine amount
8	was \$650. North American Auto Group, LLC, from
9	Baton Rouge, Louisiana; fine amount was \$1,000.
LO	Service King, Incorporated, doing business as
L1	Service King Auto Sales from Lafayette,
L2	Louisiana; fine amount was \$250. Auto Trends,
L3	LLC, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana; fine amount
L 4	was \$200. North American Auto Group, from
L 5	Baton Rouge, Louisiana; fine amount was \$2,000.
L 6	Automatic Trends, LLC, from Baton Rouge,
L 7	Louisiana; fine amount was \$2,600. The total
L 8	amount of civil penalties for the month was
L 9	\$6,700.
20	Commissioners, I ask that you ratify
21	the imposed civil penalties assessed.
22	MR. DONNELL:
23	Why are there two different
24	categories on North American? What's the deal
25	there?

1	MR. PARNELL:
2	They went out. It was two different
3	investigations, complaints that came in. It is
4	the same with Auto Trends as well. Two
5	separate instances took place with both of
6	those dealerships; whereas, the investigator
7	had went back out there again and they found
8	some violations on the part of the dealership.
9	If you notice, Auto Trends had two as well.
10	MR. OLAVE:
11	Derek, what were the total of the
12	\$3,000 fines for? Like, was it failure to
13	deliver titles? Was it failure to keep
14	records?
15	MR. PARNELL:
16	On North American?
17	MR. OLAVE:
18	North American.
19	MR. PARNELL:
20	\$1,000 was causing injuries to the
21	public. So there were four counts of causing
22	injury to the public. Specifically, I don't
23	have in front of me exactly what those charges
24	were about. 2,000 of North American was

committing a fradulant act, which was one count

1	of that. Causing injury to the public was
2	three counts. It was a total of \$2,000.
3	MR. OLAVE:
4	How many because I have heard
5	causing injury to the public from that
6	dealership several times. What, I mean, do we
7	have a record of, you know I know they have
8	had an ownership change and I heard what
9	happened, and I am sure there is some turmoil
10	over there. But I don't know if that gets
11	better or not because of Mike's departures, you
12	know. I think that was his name, Mike.
13	MR. PARNELL:
14	That is right, yes.
15	MR. OLAVE:
16	Are we active in there? Are we doing
17	like supervised
18	MR. PARNELL:
19	Yes. And that's kind of what's been
20	going on. He's gone out. He has done an audit
21	on them recently. I haven't gotten the results
22	back from the audit. This happened right
23	before we went on the stay-at-home order. So I
24	would like to see what was going on with that.
25	But since he opposed backup under a new

1	management, I did have the investigator going
2	out there regularly, sitting with them just to
3	kind of see what was going on with that
4	dealership.
5	MR. BRILL:
6	Good deal. Derek, I have got one
7	question on another one. On Auto Trends, the
8	non-delivery of title, 5 counts, has that been
9	resolved?
10	MR. PARNELL:
11	Yes, yes. Going back through all of
12	the any of the ones that had non-delivery,
13	those titles have been resolved, yes.
14	MR. BRITT:
15	And the complainants were satisfied
16	with everything?
17	MR. PARNELL:
18	That's correct.
19	MR. OLAVE:
20	Do we have to approve the imposed
21	penalties at this point?
22	MR. POTEET:
23	Yes.
24	MR. OLAVE:
25	Because I have a follow-up question

1	that doesn't require the approval. But do we
2	need a motion to do that, Mr. Chairman?
3	MR. POTEET:
4	Yes. Somebody make a motion.
5	MR. OLAVE:
6	I make a motion.
7	MR. BRITT:
8	I will second.
9	MR. POTEET:
10	All in favor, say, "Aye."
11	(All "Aye" responses.)
12	MR. POTEET:
13	Any opposed?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. POTEET:
16	Those pass. And, Mr. Olave, you had
17	a comment that you want to say?
18	MR. OLAVE:
19	Just a follow-up question, again,
20	just to maybe develop some conversation. You
21	know, I don't think anybody knows what we are
22	doing moving forward, but I got to believe that
23	some of the smaller dealerships that we license
24	are having issues staying open. So are we
25	seeing a higher volume of complaints from

1	consumers? Because when these dealerships
2	start closing and consumers can't go back and
3	get their title necessarily or, you know, if
4	they are having a mechanical problem or
5	whatever, some way to turn to them, are we
6	seeing a higher volume of complaints at this
7	point?
8	MS. BARON:
9	Actually, we have not seen a higher
10	amount of complaints actually. I was kind of
11	expecting to see that, but we have not had a
12	increase in complaints since we been out.
13	MS. BURKS:
14	They are basically the same from last
15	time, I mean, like, from before.
16	MR. OLAVE:
17	No spikes or anything in complaints.
18	What about closures? Are we getting are we
19	getting, you know, they are obligated to tell
20	us if they are closing; are we getting
21	information or are we losing a lot of licensed
22	dealers?
23	MS. BURKS:

mean, we are not having more than usual.

No. It is still about the same. I

24

1	MR.	OLAVE:
土	T.TT / •	

2.4

2 That's good news.

MR. POTEET:

I will make this comment. At the auction, now we have been running online auctions only since March 16 or something, I forgot what the date was -- 17, March 17. And we will be doing that at least through June 2. And our online attendance has grown steadily and is, in fact, about the same as our total attendance was the week before the virus, which actually coincided with our largest sale of the year. So it is interesting to me that demand has remained really strong at the auction level. And, in fact, the prices have continued to go up pretty much since the first sale that we had that was online.

I am not -- I am not making any kind of statistical argument here. I am just saying that anecdotally at our auction and talking to the auctions across the country, it seems like dealers are not particularly having a hard time. I am not saying that some of them aren't and not to say that everybody is doing well, and everybody is definitely struggling with the

1	new way of doing business, but the demand seems
2	to be out there. And I have not heard of
3	anyone going out of business. Just some
4	general comments.
5	MS. BURKS:
6	Because we are still getting new
7	applications in. New salespeople and new
8	dealerships. And I don't know if it's because
9	people have their stimulus checks that they
10	want to go buy cars now, but we still have a
11	lot of dealers that are still applying for
12	salesmen licenses so they are still operating
13	pretty well.
14	MR. POTEET:
15	I would suspect the stimulus checks
16	have some impact for sure.
17	MR. OLAVE:
18	That's great news, though.
19	MR. DONNELL:
20	John, when did you expect lane
21	auctions to return?
22	MR. POTEET:
23	Well, we are going to wait and see
24	what the governor says on whatever date that is
25	he is going to speak again, June 5, I think. I

1 am anticipating that that following Tuesday, 2 which, I think, is June 16 or June 9 -- I am 3 sorry, June 9, that we would do some -- some 4 cars running through the lanes. It kind of 5 depends on what the governor says as far as how many people that you can have and that sort of 6 7 thing. And then play it by ear after that. And one of the things I talked to other 8 9 auctions about across the country as they 10 re-open in different places has been staggering 11 the lanes so you don't have so many people 12 crowded together at one point. Staggering 13 start times in a different way. So there are a 14 lot of things that we have to kind of adapt to 15 depending on what Governor Edwards says we 16 should, you know, should be the guidelines to 17 follow.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

So if I were making plans -- right now, our plan is to run cars in the lanes on June 9, and we would only change that if we didn't feel like we could do it in a safe way and per the governor's instructions.

And just to throw something out there. The average -- our average consignment through the first, you know, up through the

1	week of March 11, I think it was, the last
2	sales we did on March 10, our average
3	consignment was about 525. We should be about
4	450 to 475 in tomorrow's sale, all online. So
5	we are very close. We are very close to back
6	to normal numbers anyway.
7	And just the percentage sold last
8	week was 71 percent. A week before was
9	77 percent. Those that know much about the
10	auction business know that our goal is to hit
11	about of 65. Those are excellent sales.
12	MR. WATTS:
13	Good numbers.
14	MR. POTEET:
15	Yes, very good.
16	Any other comments on that? All
17	right. The next thing we have, I am not sure
18	who wants to speak to about this, but the
19	proposal by Representative Schexnayder. So I
20	am going to open the floor to whoever is
21	handling that.
22	MR. PARNELL:
23	This was an item that Commissioner
24	Smith brought to my attention early last week

or maybe the end of the week prior to that,

1 House Concurrent Resolution No. 71 by 2 Representative Schexnayder. This bill directs 3 state agencies and licensing boards to adopt 4 emergency rules, which is going to suspend the 5 fees that are imposed on businesses until the 6 final adjournment of the 2021 regular 7 legislative session. This bill is moving pretty fast through the legislative process. 8 9 It was heard in a House committee last week and 10 it was passed favorably with no votes in 11 opposition.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

The bill is scheduled to be heard today on the House floor this afternoon. As you know, our revenue comes from our licensing fees and our violations. This bill definitely will have major impact on our agency.

I kind of sat with Mona and she kind of developed the fiscal impact that this could have on our agency. Because if they are stating that we cannot have any licensing fees all of the way through the -- typically, legislative session ends on June 1, so they are saying that. So that's all of the way through our prime season of revenue, which starts usually September through January. They are

saying that we cannot have any fees until June 1 of 2021. So I just wanted to kind of talk about this bill. And, then, again, I did have Mona do a fiscal impact of the bill. So if she will -- if you will, can you kind of talk about it a little bit about how it is going to affect our revenues.

MS. ANDERSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Well, after reading this concurrent resolution, we tried to come up with a fiscal impact, but you have to make some assumptions on this, because they don't clearly spell out some of the items that was directly affected. So for the purpose of the study, we considered the fees only on a cash basis and that the resolution, since it specifically says "fee" and not "fine," then we did not consider our fines to be part of this request. So far as the fees that we received already, that we received at end of October 2019, beginning of March 2020, of course, the 2020 fees are, you know, are earned. The 2021 fees, and since that would be on an accrual basis, those fees would simply be earned in January of 2021. So since no fee payments would change hands and

those are deferred fees, then we didn't include those in this report. Then, we took the fees or the fees that we would be receiving at the end of October, the beginning of March, we -- for renewals, we used the fees that were received in -- from October of '18 through March of '19.

2.4

If this passes, none of these fees will be collected if this resolution passes.

So you can see there on the report that I did, the middle column has to do with renewals, the other two columns have to do with our non-renewal periods. And they are based on -- the non-renewal percent are based on our most current period. I adjusted total salesperson fees or the big flux of salesperson licenses that we had early in 2020. They are going to review again this coming year. And the 2019 revenue, that's those two outside columns, were used to determine the non-renewal periods from May of 2020 through September of 2020, and April '21 through June of '21.

And so just in summary, the resolution would cause a loss of roughly 70 percent of our budgeted revenue for the

agency with no indication we would ever be able to collect on these revenues. The agency could hardly collect them at a later date if we have to issue licenses without fees; then there is no incentive for anybody to pay that on the back side there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

So as far as expenses are concerned, we don't get money out of the State budget. are, as you know, a self-generated agency, and so this agency is experiencing its own financial impact in light of the global pandemic caused by the coronavirus. Investment revenues are dropping to near zero rate. You saw that on our JP Morgan CDs from 1.85 to zero percent. The agency is experiencing increased costs to safeguard its facility. While staff works remotely, we had a vehicle vandalized. So we are having to look at adding cameras to protect our facility here. Additional costs to allow staff to work remotely. We incurred costs to get them set up with laptops that we just happen to have. It wasn't an ideal situation with these laptops. Those were the ones that the field investigators were previously using, and we had given them up

because they caused a lot of problems. Staff were unable to routinely work without any issues remoting in. We are going to have additional costs for the sanitation of our facility to ensure that licensees as well as staff are protected. We have to have masks. We are going to have to test people's temperatures. We are going to have our building sanitized, not just cleaned, but sanitized, on a more regular basis.

So it seems odd that, you know, we are similar to a small business and we don't get small business loans from the government to continue doing what we did. So, you know, I think this is something that we need to bring up to our representatives and hit home how much this is going to affect this agency.

MR. PARNELL:

2.4

One thing Sheri talked about with me regarding this bill because she does represent a couple other State agencies, a lot of what is being discussed is trying to oppose this bill on the Senate side. Today, again, like I said, it is going to go past the Senate floor more than likely because you typically know when

small business and consumer protection is discussed with the Legislature. That's why, you know, they kind of push those things through. Again, this bill passed unopposed with ten yeahs and no nays when it went through the Commerce Committee in the House side. So on the Senate side, Sheri, that's where she -- she is down at the Capitol today, not necessarily related to this, but she did discuss with me, you know, what we may be able to try to do maybe on the Senate side, because there are several different agencies that are in our position of this bill, obviously, so.

My hope would be that each

Commissioner, if you can, reach out to the

State representative that's near you and that

may have some information about this bill and

kind of express to them the cause -- the

detrimental cause that this can have on our

agency.

MR. OLAVE:

2.4

I have a ton of questions. I mean, to at least give the appearance that you are not holding back any kind of help for consumers or what have you. They are not separating

essential businesses from non-essential businesses because John just said the car business is alive and well. And because we are a self-funded agency, how are we justifying waiving license fees and things like that to an essential business that is alive and well that needs regulation, like we provide, through the funding that we generate from the licenses? That might be just -- my opinion would be, we have to put some figures together as to how many cars have been sold since. John can provide information as far as the auctions are concerned, again to justify, maybe, in a fiscal sense why we need to generate that money, not just to support the Commission, but to regulate an industry that is not closed down that needs the regulation. So I think that's just -- you know, I am not a politician but I have got to believe we are out on a limb with at least the opinion that you are trying not to help the public, you know. So this is in the hands of people making this decision. So, again, just my opinion.

MR. PARNELL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Commissioner Olave, I totally agree

with you. I think that everything that you said is 100 percent correct. And that's why I feel we really need to try to get some opposition, something in writing. Again, kind of take you back to what you said, some figures so that we can actually have some good solid information for the legislators if -- maybe even to pull us out of this just because out of this bill since we are considered essential as it relates to the industry.

MR. BRITT:

2.4

examples from. First of all, I would love to know the governor's opinion about this. And I am sure, knowing him personally, that he would understand breaking it down to setting stuff, like we are talking about doing. But I reached out to his office this morning, waiting on a response back. But I think that we need to first find his opinion. Two, we need to word it similar to what you are talking about, Derek, and try to sell it on our end and get us removed from that type.

MR. OLAVE:

Let me ask this, Derek, I don't know

if this helps. Not just figures from our industry, maybe Sheri can provide us other agencies that will be equally affected by this, you know, with their budget and their necessity to the consumer more than trying to relieve the pressure of these license fees. So, yes, we need to put something together for sure, I mean.

MR. PARNELL:

2.4

This is definitely something that I definitely wanted to bring to everyone's attention once it came to mine. You know, we put our key words in and this is something that didn't pop up for Kim and I on our searches. And it just popped up. And, like I said, it is moving very fast. So it does worry me a great deal, so.

MR. BRITT:

If y'all don't mind, I am going to reach out to several different sources that I have and kind of see where it is headed and which direction it is going in.

MR. DONNELL:

Will you give us a call, Derek, once y'all see how it is going on the Senate side?

Τ	Because you may see some opposition on the
2	Senate side.
3	MR. PARNELL:
4	Yes. That's what I was expecting.
5	According to Sheri, that's what she heard from
6	her other State agencies as well. That is
7	where a lot of opposition is going to happen on
8	the Senate side, so. I will definitely keep
9	you updated as it is going through the process
10	of what is going on with it, so, yes.
11	MR. BRITT:
12	Mona, I know that you had shared some
13	numbers, and I couldn't see them a while ago,
14	but if one of us need numbers emailed to us,
15	can you or Kim email us some numbers that I can
16	share with a senator?
17	MS. ANDERSON:
18	That is no problem.
19	MS. BARON:
20	I can email this table to y'all if
21	you need it.
22	MR. BRITT:
23	Yes. Would you do that, Kim? I
24	can't see it on the back of my screen.
25	MS. BARON:

I will send the explanation and the table as soon as the meeting is over. And that way we all will have both of them.

MR. BRITT:

2.4

That way I can have as a talking point when I start making my calls.

MS. BARON:

8 Okay. I can do that. Sorry about 9 that.

MR. BRITT:

Do we need to do anything on record about this, Mr. Chairman?

MR. POTEET:

I don't think we need to do anything on record. I think we -- it's going to be on public record that we pretty much oppose it for the purpose -- or the reasons we already mentioned, which is the car business doesn't seem to be doing too badly. We need the money to do the regulation that needs to be done to protect the public. And it just doesn't make as much sense for us. It may make sense for other organizations and other companies and businesses, but maybe not for us. I think what we did is exactly what we are doing. Everybody

1	get the email from Kim with all of the
2	information, and talk to whoever you feel can
3	make an impact on this. And I agree with
4	Derek; once it gets to the Senate, there may be
5	a much different view of it. But that's my
6	opinion. That's what we need to do.
7	MR. BRITT:
8	I will say this. I don't know. If
9	we can make any more public comments about it,
10	it all needs to be worded the same and all in
11	one deal.
12	MR. POTEET:
13	I don't really think we need to make
14	any public comments about it. We just need to
15	work behind the scenes and find out what is
16	going on.
17	MR. BRITT:
18	Absolutely. But, I mean, we are on
19	record so I just want to make sure that we are
20	all on the same any further discussion that
21	we are on the same page.
22	MR. POTEET:
23	Yes. I agree with that, Jeff.
24	MR. OLAVE:
25	I emphasize the point. Let's find

out -- I don't know how we do that. Maybe

Sheri can help us find out how many agencies

are affected by that. And then maybe -- and

maybe get some help from them, you know, we go

with several agencies. Look, this is our

particular agency. This is why we can't -- you

know, we can't do without this revenue until

they show their need for it or whatever. I

think once they see the bigger picture, then

you might have a different opinion of this.

MR. POTEET:

2.4

I agree. All right. Executive director's report.

MR. PARNELL:

Okay. I kind of just wanted to share with you-all -- Mona kind of discussed it a little while ago. That the pool vehicle -- the vehicle that we do have that we use for our pool vehicle here at the agency was sitting out in the parking lot. I came into the building one morning and all four of the rims and tires were stolen off of the vehicle. It is sitting on cinder blocks as we speak. This vehicle was one of the ones -- it wasn't operable right now at this time. And Property Assistance needs to

come and pick this vehicle up. This was the vehicle that, when we purchased the new vehicle, that it is considered to be traded in for that vehicle.

2.4

So right now what I am going to work adopting is try to have Property Assistance -- I don't really want to spend the revenue or any funds on trying to go get some used tires and rims to put on this vehicle, because once I submit it anyway, once -- they are only going to give me maybe 15- to \$2,000 for this vehicle anyway.

So what we have been working on doing is increasing security around the agency.

Trying to get some camera installed. We did speak with a few different security companies, and we are working right now to get bids on those cameras being installed at the agency.

MR. BRITT:

Property Assistance will send a wrecker around to get it, Derek?

MR. PARNELL:

Yes, yes. Louisiana Property
Assistance, I will get with them so they can
coordinate and get somebody out and just drag

it away. Because it is going to be surplus to
them anyway, but we just have to do surplusing
process in the computer, and that way they can
come and pick the vehicle up.
MR. BRITT:
So it is still sitting in the parking
lot with no tires?
MR. PARNELL:
Yes, right now.
MR. POTEET:
All right. Anything else?
MR. PARNELL:
That's all. That's pretty much all.
MR. POTEET:
All right. Well, the next meeting is
June 15. If there is nothing else, I make a
motion we adjourn.
MR. OLAVE:
Second, Mr. Chairman.
MR. POTEET:
All right. Okay. Talk to you guys
soon.
(Meeting adjourned at 10:14 a.m.)

1	<u>REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	I, BETTY D. GLISSMAN, Certified Court
4	Reporter, Certificate No. 86150, in and for the
5	State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the
6	Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle Commission May 18,
7	2020, meeting was reported by me in the
8	stenotype reporting method, was prepared and
9	transcribed by me or under my personal
L 0	direction and supervision, and is a true and
11	correct transcript to the best of my ability
12	and understanding.
L3	This June 1, 2020, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
L 4	
L 5	
L 6	
L7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	BETTY D. GLISSMAN, CCR
21	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
22	
23	
24	
25	